

ALASKA LNG PROJECT	DOCKET NO. CP17-____-000 RESOURCE REPORT NO. 11 APPENDIX B – STRAIN-BASED DESIGN SPECIAL PERMIT CONDITIONS	DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE: APRIL 14, 2017 REVISION: 0
	PUBLIC	

**APPENDIX B STRAIN-BASED DESIGN SPECIAL PERMIT
CONDITIONS**

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#)¹ must apply strain-based design (SBD) methodology to the design, construction, operation and maintenance of certain pipeline segments (the *SBD Segments*). *SBD Segments* consist of the portion of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) pipeline that extends in the following Mileposts:

SBD Segment	Start Milepost	End Milepost	Strain Demand Mitigation
1	194	196	Frost Heave
2	227	230	Frost Heave
3	257	262	Potential Frost Heave
4	270	276	Potential Frost Heave
5	429	440	Potential Thaw Settlement
6	541	544	Frost Heave
7	559	563	Frost Heave

Any changes to the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) pipeline segment mileposts during design and construction must be performed in accordance with the design change process in Appendix B and must be within the *SBD Segments Permit Area*² shown in Table 1. The conditions as set forth below (*SBD Conditions*) must be implemented in all *SBD segments*.

The *SBD Conditions* are presented under the following titles:

- Overview;
- Design and Materials;
- Construction;
- Operations and Maintenance;
- Reporting and Certification;
- Nomenclature

¹ Depending on the context, the term [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may refer to one or more entities.

² *SBD Segments Permit Area* is the [ALASKA LNG](#) pipeline milepost areas reviewed in the project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). They may have review areas that are not included in the original defined scope for the *SBD conditions*.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- Proprietary Data;
- Limitations;
- Appendix A – Pipe; and
- Appendix B - Design Change Process

Overview:

- 1) **Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP):** the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must operate the **42-inch** diameter [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Mainline Pipeline at or below a maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) of **2,075** pounds per square inch gauge (psig). The **SBD Segments** must be designed for operation at a hoop stress of 72% of specified minimum yield strength (SMYS) or less, but allow for pressure build-up and overpressure protection in accordance with 49 CFR §§ 192.605(b)(5) and 192.201(a)(2).
- 2) **Applicable Regulations:** The **SBD Segments** must be designed, constructed, operated and maintained in accordance with 49 CFR Part 192 including, but not limited to, those requirements that are stated as pertaining to alternative MAOP (49 CFR §§ 192.112³, 192.328, and 192.620). In addition to conforming to Part 192, the **SBD Segments** must also be designed, constructed, operated and maintained in accordance with the **SBD Conditions**. In the event of a conflict between these **SBD Conditions** and the applicable requirements under 49 CFR Part 192, the **SBD Conditions** shall control.
- 3) **Strain-Based Design Plan (SBD Plan):** the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) shall develop a **SBD Plan** that describes the SBD methodology that will be implemented. The **SBD Plan** shall address the life cycle of the **SBD Segments** including design, materials, construction, and operations and maintenance (O&M). This **SBD Plan** will be submitted to the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), Office of Pipeline Safety

³ Pending the approval of the Three Layer Polyethylene Coating Special Permit, the requirements of 49 CFR § 192.112(f)(1) will be excluded.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

(OPS)⁴, for review. The *SBD Plan* will consist of three Elements (Design and Materials; Construction; Operations and Maintenance) and must be developed for all line pipes, girth welds, and pipeline components subject to a longitudinal strain with a projected magnitude⁵ greater than 0.5%. Through analysis and testing, the SBD plan must also examine the influence of adjacent pipes (including field bends) experiencing longitudinal strains greater than a magnitude of 0.5% on the integrity of hot bends, tees, valves and other fittings. Also, the SBD plan must take into account that strain concentrations may occur at pipeline locations associated with transitions from thinner to thicker wall pipe (such as transition pups). The girth welds in these regions must be qualified and the destructive tests and documentation materials must be inspected, for strength, toughness, flaws, and other properties to ensure their integrity is in accordance with Conditions 7, 8, and 9.

- a) The three (3) Elements of the final *SBD Plan* (Design and Materials; Construction; Operations and Maintenance) must be submitted to PHMSA in accordance with the following schedule:
 - i) Element I: Design and material specifications and procedures for the SBD Plan must conform to Conditions 4 through 7, inclusive, and must be submitted to PHMSA for review three (3) months prior to rolling steel for pipe. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may submit design and material specification revisions one (1) month prior to rolling steel for pipe, if the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) arranges for independent third party review during the development of this Element.
 - ii) Element II: Construction specifications and procedures for SBD must conform to Conditions 8 through 14 and must be submitted to PHMSA for review three

⁴ In the Special Permit, where documents must be submitted to PHMSA and no contact is named, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) is to submit such documents to the PHMSA Director, OPS, Western Region (PHMSA Director) or Project Designee for this Special Permit (Project Designee).

⁵ This projection will be performed by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#), as it is a necessary requirement to determine the SBD SP mileposts. Only the SBD segments identified within the SBD SP mileposts can utilize these SBD Conditions for continued operation beyond 0.5% axial strain. [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must submit the location of the SBD SP mileposts to the PHMSA Director or Project Designee for this project for “no objection” prior to proceeding.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

(3) months prior to beginning of pipeline construction. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may submit specifications revisions one (1) month prior to commencement of pipeline construction, if the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) arranges for independent third party review during the development of this Element.

- iii) Element III: Operations and maintenance (O&M) specifications and procedures for SBD must conform to Conditions 15 through 23, and must be submitted to PHMSA for review three (3) months prior to placing the pipeline into natural gas service. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may submit specification revisions one (1) month prior to placing the pipeline into natural gas service if the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) arranges for independent third party review during the development of this Element.
- iv) After placing the pipeline into natural gas service, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must meet with PHMSA to review the *SBD Plan* for O&M (Conditions 15 through 23) each year for the first two (2) years after initial operation, and every second year thereafter^{6,7} or as otherwise required by the *SBD Plan* or requested by PHMSA. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must review compliance with the *SBD Plan* in the annual [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Integrity Management Plan report submitted to PHMSA. This review must include Condition 24 and at a minimum contain the following:
1. A notation of any *SBD Segments* that exhibit longitudinal strains with magnitudes in excess of 0.5% (“high strains”);

⁶ The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may request a change in the frequency of these meetings regarding the SBD Plan for O&M from every second year up to every fourth year, but this meeting date change would require a “no objection” response from PHMSA’s Director of Western Region or PHMSA Project Designee prior to any frequency changes.

⁷ PHMSA must reply to a request for change in the frequency of meetings regarding the SBD Plan for O&M from the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) within 60 days of its receipt. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) can proceed with the change in the frequency of meetings regarding the SBD Plan for O&M if it does not receive a response from the PHMSA Director or Project Designee within 60 days of its request being received by PHMSA.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

2. A notation of all ongoing monitoring of *SBD Segments* that exhibit high strains⁸;
 3. A plan for maintenance of SBD Segments that exhibit high strains, or SBD segments where high strains are anticipated; and
 4. An analysis of the strain change in SBD Segments that exhibit high strains, or SBD segments where high strains are anticipated, including estimation (based on all applicable factors, including geotechnical and operational data) of expected strain for the next annual cycle.
- b) Each Element of the *SBD Plan* set out in Condition 3(a)(i) through (iii) must be reviewed and certified for compliance⁹ with these Conditions by an independent third party engineering expert/firm. The independent third party engineering expert/firm must be retained by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#), in advance of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#)'s submittal to PHMSA for review.¹⁰ The independent third party engineering expert/firm must be agreed upon by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) and PHMSA. The role of the independent third party engineering expert/firm is to ensure each element of the *SBD Plan* is consistent with the applicable *SBD Conditions*.
- i) In advance of submittal of the final *SBD Plan* and elements set out in Condition 3(a)(i) through (iii), the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#), PHMSA and the independent third party engineering expert/firm must undertake joint technical review meetings to discuss the draft *SBD Plan* Elements and relay their respective comments. To the extent practicable, the joint technical review meetings must be scheduled at least 60 days prior to submittal of the final *SBD Plan* elements set out in Condition 3(a)(i) through (iii). Prior to the

⁸ Monitoring is required for all SBD Segments specified in this Special Permit.

⁹ The term "certified for compliance" means that a technical analysis and/or testing has been conducted to demonstrate the factors listed will not impact the integrity of tensile strain capacity (TSC) and compressive strain capacity (CSC).

¹⁰ Upon a written request by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#), where PHMSA determines sections of the SBD Plan does not need to be reviewed by an independent third party engineering expert/firm, the PHMSA Director or Project Designee may issue a response stating PHMSA has no objection to waiving review of these elements by an independent third party expert/firm.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

joint technical review meeting, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must submit the respective draft *SBD Plan* element to PHMSA.

- ii) Comments must be resolved in joint technical meetings of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#), PHMSA, and the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#)'s independent third party engineering expert/firm.
- iii) This review process can be adjusted with the mutual consent of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) and PHMSA.

The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) and PHMSA agree that certain *SBD Conditions* are necessary for the safe design, construction and operation of the *SBD Segments*. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may propose changes to these *SBD Conditions*, review dates or timing to PHMSA for “no objection.” Any proposed changes to *SBD Conditions* (Conditions 1 through 27) by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must maintain equivalent/acceptable levels of safety. PHMSA will determine whether substantive changes to *SBD Conditions* require a modification or public notice of this special permit. PHMSA will provide the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) with notice of its decision and an opportunity to respond to any proposed changes in accordance with 49 CFR § 190.341 and the Limitations section of this special permit. Any submittal timing, review timing, or completion timing in these *SBD Conditions* can be modified by PHMSA upon request by [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#), and with the PHMSA Director or Project Designee sending a “no objection” from PHMSA¹¹ to the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#).

¹¹ Before implementing proposed changes in the *SBD Plan*, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must submit these proposals to the SBD Conditions to the PHMSA Director or Project Designee for review and a “no objection” response from the PHMSA Director or Project Designee prior to proceeding.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

Design and Materials:

- 4) **Material Specifications:** The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) pipe material specifications for *SBD Segments* must include the requirements in Appendix A¹². The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) pipe material specifications must be consistent with the requirements in Conditions 5 and 6. These specifications must stipulate that the pipe is manufactured to achieve a sufficient level of strain capacity as discussed in Conditions 6 and 7 while accommodating variations in yield/tensile (Y/T) ratio, elongation, tensile strength in hoop and longitudinal directions, pipe chemical composition, microstructures and steel rolling and cooling practices. The material test and analysis results and any acceptable variations in these results must be technically considered in Conditions 6 and 7. Any material test reports required by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) pipe material specifications and submitted to the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must also be made available to PHMSA upon request.
- 5) **Material Testing:** The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must implement a process to determine longitudinal-tensile and compressive strain capacity of pipe and girth welds, representing all reasonably anticipated operating and environmental conditions the *SBD Segments* that will be subjected to during their life cycle in conjunction with Conditions 6, 7, and 8. The Material Testing process must explicitly account for all-parameters appropriate to the pipeline's design and operational life cycle, including but not limited to, pipe diameters, wall thicknesses, grades, girth weld flaws (type and size), and internal and external loading. As part of the O&M requirements in Condition 16, the effects of corrosion and mechanical damage (such as dents and gouges) on longitudinal tensile and compressive strain capacity of pipe and girth welds must be addressed. The cyclic and static stresses from environmental loading (such as frost heave, ground thawing, and earthquake ground motions) and operational parameters (pressure, temperature, etc.) and static stresses

¹² In the Design and Materials SPD Element, any references made the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) by the references to industry standards, such as API 5L, must be to an approved edition listed in 49 CFR § 192.7. In the event there is a conflict between these conditions and a referenced standard, the more stringent conditions must be used. If industry standards are used that are not referenced in 49 CFR Part 192 and not expressly included in these *SBD Conditions*, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must obtain a "no objection" response from the PHMSA Director or Project Designee for usage of the industry standard and edition.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

(thermal expansion and the potential of upheaval buckling) must also be technically considered. The Material Testing process must include analyses that are or have been validated by testing, using appropriate finite element analysis, small-scale testing, medium-scale testing (e.g. curved wide plate testing), and/or full-scale testing, as appropriate. The need for medium-scale and large-scale testing must be dependent on the parameters being evaluated.

- a) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) Material Testing Program must perform tests to address the pipe material properties of Condition 4 and Appendix A. The variations of pipes and girth welds being tested and technically analyzed must represent those of expected production pipe, double jointing welds, field welds, tie-in welds, repair welds, and their variations, as provided in pipe specifications of Condition 4 and Appendix A. The tests and technical analysis must validate that the pipes have the necessary properties to achieve the tensile and compressive strain capacity under combined loadings for field installation, operations, and environmental conditions.
- b) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must conduct tests and analysis to address the appropriate range of material characteristics, including: chemical compositions, microstructures, and manufacturing variables, manufacturers, and girth welding procedures. The tests and analyses must include, as appropriate, finite element analysis, small-scale testing, medium-scale testing, and full-scale testing.
- c) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) Material Testing Program must address, as a minimum, the following parameters:
 - i) Source and type of pipes (e.g. UOE, JCOE, etc.), steel chemical composition, and rolling practice
 - ii) Range of mechanical properties, including continuous stress-strain curves, strength, strain hardening rate (e.g., Y/T ratio), and effects of strain aging
 - iii) Girth welding process (mainline welds, double joint welds, tie-in welds, repair welds, and welds to fittings)
 - iv) Girth weld mechanical properties (including strength, toughness, and ductility)
 - v) Girth weld high-low misalignment

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- vi) Pipe material design conditions (e.g., D/t ratio, design factor, and pipe wall thickness variations)
- vii) Construction conditions; i.e. temperature during installation and construction backfill
- viii) Operational pressure
- ix) Operational temperature
- x) Girth weld flaw (flaw type, flaw location, i.e., surface-breaking¹³, flaw length, flaw depth, flaw height, weld metal versus heat affected zone (HAZ))
- xi) Wall thickness and pipe grade differences across the weld, if included as part of the design.

When appropriate, the conditions corresponding to the maximum, nominal, and minimum values of those parameters must be technically considered and tested.

- d) Full-scale testing must be performed to validate the strain capacity at critical project operational conditions¹⁴. If full-scale testing of a critical condition is deemed not feasible, the validation of the strain capacity must be conducted through a combination of small-scale testing, medium-scale testing, or numerical analysis by qualified labs and technical analysts. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must technically justify why the full-scale testing is unfeasible and submit an alternative plan to the PHMSA Director or Project Designee for review and response of “no objection” prior to proceeding.
- e) For tests for which standardized procedures sanctioned by standard-making organizations do not exist, or standardized procedures do not cover key elements

¹³ The impact of embedded flaws must be technically considered, including, but not limited to, re-characterizing embedded flaws as surface-breaking flaws. Testing embedded flaws is not required if it is technically demonstrated that they can be conservatively treated with the same acceptance criteria as surface flaws.

¹⁴ Prior to submittal of the draft and final *SBD Plan* Elements, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may conduct such material testing as it deems necessary for the development of the *SBD Plan* Elements. Prior to conducting such material testing the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must coordinate and consult with PHMSA on such material testing. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may incorporate the material testing and results into the draft and final *SBD Plan* Elements.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

affecting the outcome of the tests¹⁵, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must develop test procedures for material test laboratories¹⁶ to use throughout the project to obtain consistent results.

- i) The following test procedures must be technically considered:
 - a. Tensile test of pipe hoop and longitudinal properties,
 - b. Tensile test of all-weld metal,
 - c. Charpy V-Notch Impact test of pipe,
 - d. Charpy V-Notch Impact test of girth weld HAZ and weld metal, and
 - e. Crack tip opening displacement (CTOD) test or single-edge notched bending (SENB) test of girth weld HAZ and weld metal
 - ii) The following test procedures must be evaluated, unless the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) can technically justify why a test procedure is not feasible:
 - a. Single-edge notched tensile (SENT) test of girth weld HAZ and weld, and
 - b. Full-scale test.
- f) Each of the test procedures must include the following parameters, as appropriate for the type of test:
- i) Specimen removal from pipe or weld
 - a. Specimen orientation (longitudinal or hoop direction)
 - b. Specimen position (o'clock around the circumference, thickness position)
 - c. Method of removal, including the possible effects of cutting and machining heat on the materials being tested)
 - ii) Specimen dimensions, including tolerance
 - iii) Specimen machining, including tolerance
 - iv) Placement of notch, including process of locating the notch with respect to the target microstructure, notch front acuity
 - v) Electrical discharge machining (EDM) notching of flaws

¹⁵ For instance, tensile test of round bar specimens is covered by a number of standardized test procedures. However, those test procedures may not cover specimen extraction (e.g., specimen position relative to the pipe wall, specimen dimensions) from pipes and girth welds.

¹⁶ To ensure quality and repeatability of the test results, material test labs must have procedures for calibration and a quality program in place.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- vi) Instrumentation plan
- vii) Calibration of instruments
- viii) Calibration of test machine
- ix) Test temperature and uniformity of the test temperature throughout tests
- x) Loading procedure, including internal pressure if applicable
- xi) Data collection rate
- xii) Acquisition and storage of raw test data
- xiii) Data processing procedure and possible corrections to machine compliance, if applicable
- xiv) Post-processing of raw data
- xv) Data reporting, including raw data, processed data, fracture surfaces, if applicable
- xvi) verification of test data
- xvii) validity criteria of flaw dimensions, if applicable
- xviii) validity criteria of test data, if applicable
- xix) verification of notch location, if applicable

Parts of the test procedures may be referenced from published test standards.

Parts of the test procedures not covered by such published test standards must be supplemented by the project test procedures. A document containing all test procedures must be provided to PHMSA prior to commencement of the test.

- g) A fracture control plan must be developed to determine the fracture arrest for pipe from each unique combination of steel mill,¹⁷ pipe diameter, wall thickness and grade to meet 49 CFR § 192.112(b)¹⁸.

¹⁷ A steel mill must use the same rolling parameters through-out the steel making process for pipe used in *SBD segments*. If rolling parameters and steel compositions materially change during the manufacturing process, additional small-scale destructive tests must be conducted as if the steel was rolled by another manufacturer. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) has the option to submit to PHMSA documentation of why rolling parameters, steel composition, and manufacturer changes would not affect pipe fracture arrest. Such changes must receive a response of “no objection” response from the PHMSA Director or Project Designee prior to implementation.

¹⁸ It is not necessary to consider the effects of strain on the fracture arrest behavior of pipe. Ground movements apply bending strains only to short lengths of pipe (in most cases less than a joint in length), and any fracture initiated within a strained region would be arrested by adjacent unstrained pipe or crack arrestors that meet the requirements of 49 CFR § 192.112(b).

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- h) Destructive tests must be used to determine the ability of all crack arrestor designs used to stop and arrest an operational pipe fracture as specified in 49 CFR § 192.112(b). The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must justify the selection of an appropriate test matrix that is conservatively representative of pipeline conditions. The worst-case fracture propagation driving force (highest pressure, worst case gas composition) must be considered and the ability of the crack arrestor to function under these conditions must be demonstrated. However, it is not necessary to test all combinations of diameter and wall thickness. Tests on larger diameter, thinner wall pipe may be used to demonstrate the function of arrestors for heavier wall and smaller diameter pipe operated at the same design pressure.
- 6) **Design Procedures:** Based upon the findings from the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Material Testing Program and analysis as required in Condition 5, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must develop and implement written material, design, construction, and O&M specifications and procedures in accordance with these *SBD Conditions*. The purpose of these steps is to prevent the strain demand for pipe and girth welds from exceeding the defined strain demand limits under operational conditions for the *SBD Segments*. The construction and O&M specifications and procedures are to be included in Element II and Element III, respectively, of the *SBD Plan*. Specifications and procedures must be based upon Condition 5 test and analysis results and engineering critical assessment as specified in Condition 7. The specifications and procedures must be refined as construction and operational history becomes more developed.
- a) Tensile and compressive strain demand limits must be established as follows:

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- i) The tensile strain demand limit for the *SBD segments* must be the tensile strain capacity calculated using the procedures, predictive equations and models in accordance with Condition 7¹⁹ divided by 1.667²⁰.
- ii) The compressive strain demand limit for the *SBD segments* must be the compressive strain capacity calculated using the procedures, predictive equations and models in accordance with Condition 7²¹ divided by 1.25²² in Class 1, 2, 3, and 4 locations. In Class 1 locations that (a) are not in the right-of-way for an aboveground pipeline, (b) are not in the right-of-way for a designated interstate, freeway, expressway, or other principal 4-lane arterial roadway, or (c) contain less than two buildings within a potential impact circle, as defined in § 192.903, that have human occupancy of less than 50 days in a 12-month period, a 1.11 factor may be used in-lieu of 1.25. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) can propose an alternate safety factor in Element I for independent third party review and PHMSA Director or Project Designee review and “no objection” that is supported by project-specific data and analysis.

¹⁹ The procedures, predictive equations, and models described in Condition 7 may be reviewed and technically adjusted to incorporate the results of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) Material Testing Program as described in Condition 5. A document detailing the review and technical adjustment process (including how any new models produce the same or better consistency and accuracy in predicting tensile strain capacity of the *SBD segments*) must be submitted to an independent third party engineering expert/firm for review and to PHMSA for a response of “no objection.” If the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) adjusts the predictive equations and models described in Condition 7 after obtaining a response of “no objection” from PHMSA, the review and technical adjustment process must be incorporated into the draft and final *SBD Plan* Elements.

²⁰ An alternative equivalent method of determining the tensile strain demand limit would be 0.60 times the tensile strain capacity in Condition 7.

²¹ The procedures, predictive equations, and models described in Condition 7 may be reviewed and technically adjusted to incorporate the results of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) Material Testing Program as described in Condition 5. A document detailing the review and technical adjustment process (including how any new models produce the same or better consistency and accuracy in predicting tensile strain capacity of the *SBD segments*) must be submitted to an independent third party engineering expert/firm for review and to PHMSA for a response of “no objection.” If the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) adjusts the predictive equations and models described in Condition 7 after obtaining a response of “no objection” from PHMSA, the review and technical adjustment process must be incorporated into the draft and final *SBD Plan* Elements.

²² An alternative equivalent method of determining the compressive strain demand limit would be 0.80 or 0.90 times the compressive strain capacity in Condition 7.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- iii) The tensile and compressive strain demand limits for the *SBD segments* must not exceed 2%.
- b) The strain demand for the *SBD segments* must be technically based upon, and technically account for:
 - i) Field conditions – above ground, below ground, seismic, permafrost, soil loads, settlement, or movement along the pipeline;
 - ii) Different operational pressures – maximum to minimum;
 - iii) Pipe temperatures, which include contributions from both operational and environmental heat sources/sinks – maximum to minimum; and
 - iv) Seasonal changes in operational and environmental conditions.
- c) The strain capacity for the *SBD segments* must be technically based upon, and technically account for:
 - i) Variations in pipe tensile properties, pipe diameter, wall thickness, ovality, and out-of-roundness;
 - ii) Flaws in girth welds that account for welding procedures actually used, high-low misalignment, engineering critical assessment as specified in Condition 7, construction and operational loads, girth weld flaw sizes, girth weld variability, repair; and
 - iii) Pipe strain aging and hardening effects on the pipe properties, including pipe strength, yield strength to ultimate tensile strength (Y/T) ratios, and pipe elongation from the pipe coating heating effects during external or internal coating applications.

7) **Engineering Critical Assessment:**

- a) Part I - Tensile Strain Capacity²³

²³ The procedures, predictive equations, and models described in Condition 7 may be reviewed and technically adjusted to incorporate the results of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) Material Testing Program as described in Condition 5. A document detailing the review and technical adjustment process (including how any new models produce the same or better consistency and accuracy in predicting tensile strain capacity of the *SBD segments*) must be submitted to an independent third party engineering expert/firm for review and to PHMSA for a response of “no objection.” If the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) adjusts the predictive equations and models described in Condition 7 after obtaining a response of “no objection” from PHMSA, the review and technical adjustment process must be incorporated into the draft and final *SBD Plan* Elements.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

Engineering Critical Assessment (ECA) procedures, predictive equations, and models for calculating tensile strain capacity in the *SBD Segments* during their life cycle must adequately address the following parameters:

- i) The operation of materials (pipes and girth welds) on the upper shelf²⁴ (i.e., having ductile behavior) of the brittle-ductile transition;
- ii) Combined loading effects from longitudinal stress, hoop stress, and environmental loads;
- iii) Geometric pipe parameters, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Pipe geometry including wall thickness,
 - b. Girth weld high-low misalignment, and
 - c. Type of joints, including pipe to pipe, pipe to bend, pipe to fitting, and pipe to valve;
- iv) Pipe material and girth weld property parameters that are necessary inputs to the model, including:
 - a. Y/T ratio,
 - b. Uniform strain,
 - c. Weld strength mismatch at ultimate tensile strength (UTS),
 - d. Flaw size (height and length),
 - e. Flaw location (weld metal or HAZ),
 - f. Flaw geometry (surface breaking, embedded, etc.)
 - g. HAZ hardening or softening,
 - h. Weld toughness properties for weld centerline and HAZ including CTOD, SENT resistance curves (R-Curves), Charpy transition curves, curved wide plate, or other tests with pre-approved qualified test procedures, and

²⁴ The brittle-ductile transition behavior must be established by conducting one or more of the following tests: (1) Charpy V-Notch Impact Test, (2) Drop Weight Tear Test (DWTT), or (3) Full-Scale Test. The effects of specimen size and flaw-tip constraint conditions may be considered and accounted for in predicting materials' full-scale behavior. When ductile shear area can be determined, having 85% shear area may be viewed as achieving ductile behavior. If the ductile shear area cannot be determined, a full transition curve based on total energy must be used to determine the attainment of the ductile behavior.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- i. Flaw interaction rules suitable for strain-based design;²⁵
- v) The predictive equations or models for tensile strain capacity must evaluate the possible failure modes and locations for tensile strain capacity including, but not limited to, crack initiation, ductile tearing, and plastic collapse in the pipe and weld and the possibility of brittle failure. The predictive equations must be validated by the tests specified in Condition 5.
 - a. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may use the tensile strain capacity predictive equations in published references²⁶ subject to review and approval of the *SBD Plan* by the independent third party expert/firm and PHMSA in accordance with Condition 3(b). The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must demonstrate that these equations account for physical parameters that affect tensile strain capacity, such as those specified in Conditions 7(a)(i) through 7(a)(iv).
 - b. If the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) project-specific predictive equations cannot meet Conditions 7(a)(i) through 7(a)(iv) above, no tensile strain capacity greater than those from the PHMSA/PRCI predictive equations²⁷ can be used in the design, construction, and O&M.

²⁵ Flaw interaction rules must cover all possible scenarios that can occur in the field, including but not limited to, interaction of stacked flaws at weld starts and stops and interaction of surface-breaking and embedded flaws. API 1104, Appendix A, provides examples of various possible scenarios. Flaw interaction rules for strain-based design conditions are not well developed. Methodology in the following references can be used as a guide to develop flaw interaction rules: (1) Wang, Y.-Y., Liu, M., Long, X., Stephens, M., Petersen, R., and Gordon, R., "Validation & Documentation of Tensile Strain Limit Design Models for Pipelines," PRCI Project ABD-1, US DOT Agreement DTPH56-06-T000014, Final report, August 2, 2011, <http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=200> and (2) a paper by Tang et. al. at ISOPE 2014-1-14-556. Flaw interaction rules must be submitted to PHMSA Director or Project Designee for review and "no objection" response prior to implementation.

²⁶ Tang, H, Panico, M, Fairchild, DP, Crapps, JM, Cheng, W (2014). "Strain Capacity Prediction of Strain-Based Design Pipelines" Proc. of 10th Int'l Pipeline Conf., Calgary, Alberta, Canada, and Tang, H., Fairchild, D.P., Cheng, W., Kan, W., Cook, M.F., Macia, M.L., 2014, "Development of Surface Flaw Interaction Rules for Strain-based Design Pipelines", Proc. 24th Int'l Soc. Offshore and Polar Eng. Conf., Busan, S. Korea.

²⁷ Wang, Y.-Y., Liu, M., and Song, Y., 2011, "Second Generation Models for Strain-Based Design," PRCI Project ABD-1, US DOT Agreement DTPH56-06-T000014, Final report, August 30, 2011, <http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=201>.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

b) Part II – Compressive Strain Capacity²⁸

The predictive equations or finite element analysis (FEA) models for calculating compressive strain capacity in the *SBD Segments* during their life cycle must adequately address the following parameters:

- i) Pipe diameter;
- ii) Pipe wall thickness;
- iii) Pipe imperfections;
- iv) Field cold bending and its impact on material properties;
- v) Material grade;
- vi) Material strain hardening rate (Y/T ratio);
- vii) Internal pressure;
- viii) All weld metal strength of girth weld;
- ix) Girth weld misalignment;
- x) Presence of girth weld; and
- xi) Girth weld transitions between different wall thicknesses

c) Part III – Interaction of hoop strain and longitudinal strain: in addition to technically considering the strain capacity which is measured in the pipe longitudinal direction in Part I and Part II above (Condition 7(a) and (b)), the interaction of hoop strain and seam weld must be technically considered.

Construction:

8) **Girth Welding Procedure Qualifications:** Girth welding procedures that qualify to meet 49 CFR § 192.225 must address:

- a) Weld procedure tests:

²⁸ The procedures, predictive equations, and models described in Condition 7 may be reviewed and technically adjusted to incorporate the results of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Material Testing Program as described in Condition 5. A document detailing the review and technical adjustment process (including how any new models produce the same or better consistency and accuracy in predicting tensile strain capacity) must be submitted to an independent third party engineering expert/firm for review and to PHMSA for “no objection” response prior to implementation. If the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) adjusts the predictive equations and models described in Condition 7 after obtaining “no objection” from PHMSA, the review and technical adjustment process must be incorporated into the draft and final *SBD Plan* Elements.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- i) The preferred all-weld metal tensile tests are described in Appendix C of “Background of All-Weld Metal Tensile Test Protocol, Final Report 277-T-02,” reference: <http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=225>. However, this test specimen may not be suitable for all weld bevel geometries. The largest practical diameter round bar tensile specimen which fits inside the weld cross-section may be used as an alternative to the weld metal strip tensile specimen²⁹. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must submit its all weld metal testing procedure and justification as part of Element II. Plastically deforming the pipe segment (e.g. flattening) before removal of the tensile test specimen is not allowed.
- ii) Hardness test
- iii) Weld metal/ HAZ fracture toughness tests, such as, where appropriate: Charpy V-Notch Impact, CTOD, SENB, SENT, curved wide plate, and full scale tests. The tests must be conducted in groupings in accordance with the essential variable requirements of API 1104 Annex A³⁰, including possible variations of welding parameters such as heat input in field welding and material property variations resulting from all pipe sources including, but not limited to, chemical compositions and steel rolling temperatures, including their effects on yield and tensile strengths and elongations. Toughness tests must include initiation resistance and/or ductile tearing resistance, ductile-to-brittle transition temperature of the weld metal and heat affected zones.³¹

²⁹ As described in the referenced report, the all weld metal strip specimen is not considered suitable for testing of tie-ins with an open bevel. Furthermore, fewer labs capable of testing this specimen may be available. Tensile property data based on round bar specimens was used in the development and validation of the strain capacity models referenced in Note 26. Tensile property data based on round bar specimens has been observed to be suitable for predicting strain capacity.

³⁰ API Standard 1104, “Welding of Pipelines and Related Facilities,” 21st edition, September 2013, including errata 1 (April 2014), errata 2 (June 2014), errata 3 (July 2014) and addition 1 (July 2014).

³¹ Temperatures for field joint coating are much lower than the temperatures experienced by the weld and HAZ during girth welding. Because these temperatures are lower, no effect of field joint coating temperatures on properties is expected. Additionally, the field joint coating thermal cycle has the potential to improve toughness by allowing the diffusion of hydrogen out of the girth welds.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- iv) Weld tensile strength overmatch (minimum weld metal strength must ensure tensile strength overmatch).
- b) Weld high-low misalignment parameters must be defined and addressed in full-scale tests, finite element models, or a combination of the two methods.
- c) Weld flaw acceptance criteria and nondestructive testing (NDT) criteria:
 - i) Weld flaw acceptance criteria listing imperfection sizes, lengths, and depths, using automated ultrasonic testing (AUT) which includes sizing error allowance and flaw interaction rules.
 - ii) The flaw acceptance criteria must be consistent with the requirements of weld strain capacity in the life cycle of the pipeline and Conditions 5, 6, and 7.
- d) Procedures for repair welding must be developed in accordance with the requirements of API 1104 and the strain capacity during the entire life cycle of the **SBD Segments**. The impact of repairs on material properties, such as tensile, toughness, and ductility, from repair thermal cycles must be technically considered. The post-repair properties must be used in evaluating the girth weld strain capacity if those properties are inferior to the properties of the original welds. For instance, possible toughness degradation in the HAZ of the repair weld in the original girth weld and base metal must be technically considered. Limits on repairs must be specified and technically justified.
- e) Expanded Weld Procedure Qualification (WPQ) requirements for **SBD Segments**:
 - i) All SBD welding procedures (pipeline procedures, tie-in procedures and repair procedures) must be subject to expanded WPQ testing over the range of welding parameters expected during construction to demonstrate procedure robustness and consistency.
 - ii) Mechanized weld procedures must be qualified and have tensile and toughness tests performed on both high heat input and low heat input welds.
 - iii) Manual weld procedures must be qualified over the full range of heat inputs anticipated during construction. During WPQ, the average voltage and current must be measured. The number of weld passes must also be recorded.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- f) WPQ Consistency Program:
- i) As part of WPQ, a Consistency Program must be conducted for all mechanized weld procedures in which a minimum of five (5) consecutive girth welds must be made to SBD Engineering Critical Assessment (ECA) flaw acceptance criteria or workmanship criteria, whichever is more restrictive.
 - ii) The girth welds fabricated for the Consistency Program must be made with parameters within the qualified ranges.
- g) Welder training:
- i) Mechanized welding – Every welder and/or pair of welders must be permitted a minimum of three (3) welds for training. Following training, the welder and/or pair of welders must make a test weld to SBD ECA flaw acceptance criteria or workmanship criteria, whichever is more restrictive.
 - ii) Manual Welding – Every welder must be permitted a minimum of one (1) practice weld followed by a single test weld. The testing must include Non-Destructive Examination (NDE) or API Standard 1104 main body destructive tests. Re-tests must be permitted for nick break tests.
 - iii) Welder training (mechanized and manual welding) must be performed after six (6) months of “no welding” with project welding procedures.
- 9) **Construction Quality:**
- a) The spacing between any two girth welds must not be smaller than 1 pipe diameter (1D) for pipeline welds and not be smaller than 1D for transition welds in bends;³²
 - b) No welded sleeve or composite sleeve repair is permitted during new pipe construction;
 - c) A measurement and monitoring system must be developed and implemented to quantify the pipe ovality, out-of-roundness, and pipe wall thickness at the pipe mill.

³² The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) has the option of submitting to PHMSA’s Director or Project Designee a procedure for pipe minimum pup length for girth welding to take the place of this condition. This procedure must receive a response of “no objection” response from the PHMSA Director or Project Designee prior to implementation.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must document procedures for pipe handling, storage and transportation and visual inspection of pipe for transportation damage. Dimensions must be measured on any pipes suspected of being damaged or deformed. During each construction season, it must be verified on at least 10 pipes that the pipe still meets the dimensional requirements after being transported to the right-of-way;
- d) Each girth weld must be inspected for misalignment to ensure that the maximum misalignment for each girth weld has not been exceeded. Inspection may be performed visually with the use of appropriate tools or with a measuring system that measures misalignment around the circumference. Procedures for remediating girth welds outside specified misalignment limits must be developed. Alternatively, if misalignment is greater than the maximum allowed, the weld must be cut out or the strain capacity of the pipe segment where the subject weld is located must be lowered based upon the measured misalignment using the ECA approach of Condition 7;
 - e) Longitudinal stress and strain during construction must be calculated based upon the anticipated pipe ditch installation procedure. Pipe lifting and lowering-in practices, ditch depths, lift heights, number of lift points, and spacing between lift points must be specified:
 - i) Pipe lowering-in stress and strain analysis must consider the total transition length – that is, all pipe joints between the touch down point at the bottom of the trench and the touchdown point on the leading end of the pipe string. Both vertical profile and horizontal offset from pipe support to the center of the ditch must be technically considered.
 - ii) Lifting practices must assure that the radius of curvature of the pipe during lifting will not overstress the pipe and girth welds.
- 10) **Girth Weld Testing During Production Welding:** The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must implement a program to confirm ongoing quality for application of SBD. The program must be addressed in Element II, which must be reviewed by an independent third party engineering expert/firm and PHMSA Director or Project Designee “no objection” response in accordance with Condition 3(b).

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- a) Increased quality assurance and/or quality control (QA/QC).
 - i) Production welding must be performed within the range of welding parameters of those qualified;
 - ii) A comprehensive QA/QC program must be established to record and monitor the welding parameters during production welding on a real time basis to ensure that production welds are made within the welding parameter tolerances qualified during WPQ:
 - a. Any weld that is made outside the range of qualified parameters, such as those made outside the qualified heat input range (as measured by the electronic recording equipment), must be rejected and cut out;
 - b. Electronic measurement spikes, while not common, do occur. However, these anomalous spikes will not automatically trigger a cut out. The spikes must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
 - iii) QA/QC staff and independent inspectors must be trained and qualified prior to inspecting production welding.
- b) Start-up weld consistency requirements prior to full production
 - i) Weld consistency at start-ups, including seasonal start-ups and shut downs due to high repair rates, must consider staggered production where weld quality is evaluated over a limited number of welds; and
 - ii) Only when weld repair rates achieve an acceptable level³³ can the contractor resume full production.

11) **Girth Weld Identification**: Each girth weld and pipe joint must be uniquely identified and traceable to:

- a) Weld history records including, but not limited to:
 - i) Weld procedure used;
 - ii) Weld repair procedure used;
 - iii) Weld identification;
 - iv) Welder(s) name(s);

³³ Weld repair rate greater than 10% for a rolling average of 200 consecutive mainline welds will result in the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) performing a root cause analysis and taking remedial action.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- v) Weld rod or wire; and
 - vi) Date of weld.
- b) Identification of weld procedure specification (WPS) and procedure qualification record (PQR).
- c) NDT history including, but not limited to:
- i) NDT procedure used;
 - ii) Weld/NDT identification;
 - iii) Results of NDT;
 - iv) NDT technician (Level II);
 - v) NDT technician (Level III); and
 - vi) date of NDT.
- d) Coatings and any other post-weld processes applied to the weld.
- 12) **Deformation Tool:** The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must run a high resolution deformation tool through all *SBD Segments* not later than the end of Pipeline Start-Up (see [Condition 27](#), “Nomenclature”) and remediate, as required, all expanded pipe in accordance with PHMSA’s “Interim Guidelines for Confirming Pipe Strength in Pipe Susceptible to Low Yield Strength” dated September 10, 2009.

- 13) **Grounding and Cathodic Protection:** Interference current protection and cathodic protection (CP) must be provided for all buried *SBD Segments* within one (1) year of installation of the pipeline in the ditch (including backfill) to meet 49 CFR §§ 192.328(e), 192.620(d)(5) through (8) and Condition 19. Interference currents to be mitigated include:
- a) Induced alternating current (AC) and fault current protection from overhead AC transmission lines;
 - b) Telluric currents (geomagnetic earth currents); and
 - c) All other sources of direct current (DC) earth currents.

During the commissioning of the CP systems and during the annual CP surveys, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must test for the presence of interference currents and insufficient levels of CP along the buried pipeline. Should such conditions be detected, then the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must take remedial action within one (1) year of

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

detection, whether through effective CP or some other means. The interference current protection and CP system may be temporary or permanent, but in all cases, one or more of the applicable criteria contained in Appendix D of Part 192 must be achieved and maintained. Both the interference protection and CP systems must include provisions for testing and monitoring the performance of the systems including provisions for measuring polarized pipe-to-soil potentials and AC coupons, as a minimum.

14) **Right-of-Way Construction Monitoring Program:** A right-of-way monitoring program must be developed and implemented for all pipeline *SBD Segments* during all construction phases based upon the progress of construction. The right-of-way construction monitoring program must include provisions for:

- a) Periodic collection of soil and groundwater samples to test for chemical and electrical properties related to pipe corrosion, where technically applicable;
- b) Quality assurance for bedding and backfill materials;
- c) Quality assurance for main line pipe and girth weld coatings (above ground and below ground), including horizontal directional drill (HDD) coating quality; and
- d) Where the pipeline is parallel to overhead AC transmission lines, AC pipe-to-soil potentials and AC current densities must be measured periodically and supplemental grounding provided, where necessary, to assure safe conditions.

Observed field conditions that can have an integrity impact on pipeline operations and integrity management plans must be documented during construction.

Operations and Maintenance (O&M):

15) **Conditions for Start of Service:** Conditions 4 through 14 above (except Condition 12) must be implemented prior to placing the pipeline in natural gas service. The implementation of Conditions 4 through 14 must be included in the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) O&M Procedures, if they apply to O&M.

16) **O&M Procedures:** In addition to O&M procedures otherwise required by 49 CFR Part 192, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) O&M procedures must technically consider all operating parameters that have an effect on the implementation or compliance with any of these *SBD*

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

Conditions, including but not limited to maximum and minimum pressures, pipe corrosion, gas and environmental temperatures, gas quality, and the following:

- a) The effects of corrosion anomaly (defects) and mechanical damage, such as dents and gouges, on the strain capacity of the pipe and girth welds. A procedure to evaluate the effect of anomalies on tensile and compressive strain capacity must be developed and used as part of the O&M plan to assess pipeline integrity and fitness for service. The anomaly interaction criteria of a minimum of $6t$, (with t being the pipe wall thickness), must be used for longitudinal and circumferential wall loss.³⁴
- b) Interaction of pipe anomaly and longitudinal strain. In addition to technically considering the strain capacity which is measured in the pipe longitudinal direction in Condition 7(a) and (b), the interaction of pipe anomalies and hoop strain must be technically considered:
 - i) The hoop strain limit must be maintained to a safe level when the interaction of hoop strain and longitudinal strain is technically considered in the presence of metal wall loss or other anomalies;
 - ii) Unless justified by research,³⁵ as provided in paragraph iii below, metal loss must be maintained below 20% of the pipe wall thickness (see Condition 18), and pressure failure ratios maintained in accordance with Condition 23, when the longitudinal strain magnitude exceeds 0.5%;
 - iii) Anomalies exhibiting wall loss greater than 20% but not greater than 40% may be allowed in *SBD Segments* with longitudinal strains over 0.5% strain but must be evaluated with O&M procedures that are based upon:
 - a. A destructive test program;
 - b. Finite element analysis; or
 - c. A combination of the two methods, which validates the procedures.

³⁴ Other anomaly interaction criteria may be used if it is more conservative than the required criteria.

³⁵ PHMSA has an on-going research project on the effects of anomaly wall loss under combined pipeline loadings. The project is titled "Strain-Based Design and Assessment of Segments of Pipelines with and without Fittings" and is being conducted by the Center for Reliable Energy Systems. The project web link is: <https://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/matrix/PrjHome.rdm?prj=556/>. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may need to conduct additional tests on the effects of anomalies on longitudinal strain capacity.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must develop O&M procedures based upon the results of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Material Testing Program as described under Condition 5 and PHMSA research³⁵ with anomalies simulating wall loss under combined longitudinal and hoop loadings.

- c) Determination of the nature, growth parameters, and location of all strain demand events (e.g., frost heave, thaw settlement, seismic, geologic fault areas, soil liquefaction areas, or soil movement areas).
- d) Determination of strain capacity and strain demand along the *SBD Segments* in accordance with design and material specifications and tests for key parameters (as determined in Conditions 5, 6 and 7) including as appropriate:
 - i) Pipe wall thickness;
 - ii) Pipe tensile properties;
 - iii) Weld tensile properties;
 - iv) Weld hardness, including weld metal and HAZ;
 - v) Weld toughness;
 - vi) Pipe dimensional tolerance;
 - vii) Girth weld high-low misalignment;
 - viii) As-built girth weld NDT acceptance flaw size;
 - ix) Allowance for flaw growth if ductile tearing limit state is used in determining the tensile strain capacity;³⁶
 - x) Manufacturing, construction and operational inspection plan for *SBD Segments*; and
 - xi) O&M procedures and life cycle implementation plan for *SBD Segments*.
- e) Develop and implement strain demand monitoring systems including in-line inspection tools as specified in Condition 17, to verify the reliability and accuracy of these procedures. The strain demand monitoring systems must be technically justified for estimation of actual strain (tensile, compressive, and combined) demand

³⁶ O&M plan must ensure that the flaw growth in the life cycle of the pipeline is less than the critical flaw growth in the ductile tearing limit state. It is necessary to demonstrate that the flaw growth can be accurately and consistently measured if the allowance for flaw growth were to be given.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- levels. The strain demand from the monitoring systems must have the comparable level of accuracy and resolution as the strain capacity so the strain demand can be compared consistently to the strain demand limit. When strain demand magnitude greater than 0.5% is determined, the pipeline must be monitored or remediated in accordance with integrity remediation measures specified in Condition 17.
- f) Develop and implement material properties surveillance procedures for any time-dependent degradation mechanisms found during material testing, construction, or on-going operations that may affect SBD.

17) **Monitoring and Determination of Pipeline Strain Demand:**

- a) Devices or processes of strain demand monitoring must be installed or implemented either during construction (e.g., fiber optical cable), or during operation (inertial measurement unit (IMU), ground survey, aerial survey), when locations of high strains are projected before the pipeline is put in service and/or discovered after the pipeline is put in service. When the monitoring is not directly on the pipeline, appropriate soil and pipe interaction models must be used to calculate the strains imposed on the pipe.
- b) The resolution of the strain demand monitoring devices and processes must be consistent with that required to accurately determine strains up to the magnitude of the strain capacity as determined in Condition 7. Tool inaccuracy is addressed in Condition 17(g)(iii) and must be technically considered.
- c) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) strain demand monitoring procedures must take into account the limitations, accuracy, strain demand seasonal variability and measurement intervals of the strain measurement. If monitoring devices have directional or accuracy limitations that cannot be offset through tolerances and safety factors in the procedures, multiple devices or processes for monitoring must be used. Unless the ILI is performed during the time of year when the peak strain is expected, the procedures must also account for potential seasonal variation in strain demand.
- d) Data acquisition and analysis must be of a frequency to ensure the strain demand limit on the *SBD segments* is not exceeded before mitigation measures can be implemented. The frequency of monitoring may be adjusted based on the site-

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

specific strain growth rate calculations with safety factors using procedures that have been reviewed by PHMSA Director or Project Designee with “no objection.”

PHMSA Director or Project Designee may request an independent third party review of the procedures and findings.

- e) Whenever high strain conditions are identified, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must evaluate the site-specific strain demand limit. For the strain demand limit evaluation, the site-specific data must include actual pipe geometry, stress-strain data, Y/T ratios, construction weld records, NDE results and other recorded data that affect the strain capacity as given in Condition 7. The appropriate safety factor, as given in Condition 6, must be applied to obtain the site-specific strain demand limit from the site-specific strain capacity. In lieu of site-specific data, the strain demand limit can be established based on the material, geometry, and weld records of the entire *SBD Segments* that would result in a more conservative strain demand limit than the site-specific strain demand limit.
- f) Whenever high strain conditions are identified, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must evaluate the site-specific strain demand. For the strain demand evaluation, the site-specific geotechnical data must include burial depth, soil type and subsurface temperature information, water table height, and other recorded data that contribute to the evaluation and understanding of strain demand and growth of strain at this location. If site-specific geotechnical data is not available, conservative assumptions must be used to assess future strain demand growth. The site-specific strain demand model must be calibrated to the pipeline strain measured at the location of high strain.
- g) The conditions for geospatial mapping are given below as an example of a strain demand monitoring method. The principles of mapping are applicable to other monitoring methods when appropriate.
 - i) Geospatial Pipeline Mapping: Multi-dimensional geospatial pipeline mapping in-line inspection (ILI) (Mapping ILI) tools must be run through all *SBD Segments*. The Mapping ILI tools (e.g. IMU) must be capable of mapping the pipeline location based upon: plan, elevation, and distance. The Mapping ILI tools must be capable of mapping features such as: pipeline alignment, direction and orientation of horizontal and vertical with respect to angle,

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

radius, direction and location. To ensure accurate usage of ECA and O&M procedures for SBD that are capable of identifying and locating high bending strain conditions associated with the strain demand in Condition 17, the Mapping ILI tool must be able to meet the performance parameters listed in Table 2: “Summary of Bending Strain ILI Tool Performance.” Conditions that can cause additional stresses or strains on girth welds, but not measureable from geospatial mapping tools, must be technically considered in the weld integrity evaluation.

Table 2: Summary of Bending Strain ILI Tool Performance	
	Bending strain¹
Detection threshold POD 90%	0.1% maximum
Accuracy	+/- 0.05%
Reporting threshold	0.125% strain
Notes:	
1. All values given for 80% certainty.	
2. For maximum reported strain values $\leq 2\%$.	

- ii) The Mapping ILI tool must be run not later than the end of Pipeline Start-Up and once each calendar year not to exceed fifteen (15) months thereafter. Alternatively, the ILI schedule can be proposed in Element III by routing for review by both the independent third party engineering expert/firm and PHMSA with a PHMSA Director or Project Designee sending a response of “no objection” prior to implementation. After start-up, the justification for any alternative interval must be provided to PHMSA Director or Project Designee, for review and the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must receive a response of “no objection” from PHMSA Director or Project Designee prior to extending Mapping ILI tool run interval.
- iii) All Mapping ILI tool measurements must have a tool inaccuracy (tolerance) factor, as appropriate for the ILI tool, added to all strain demand calculations.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- h) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must report and remediate high strain conditions as specified in Table 3: “Pipeline Segment Strain Demand Monitoring.”³⁷ Alternatively, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) can propose a strain demand monitoring approach in Element III, or at a later time, for review and approval by the independent third party engineering expert/firm and PHMSA Director or Project Designee. The justification for any alternative strain demand monitoring approach must be provided to PHMSA Director or Project Designee, for review and the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must receive a response of “no objection” from PHMSA prior to implementation.

Table3: Pipeline Segment Strain Demand Monitoring		
Strain Demand Magnitude that Triggers Action		Action Required³⁸
<i>Level</i>	<i>Strain Demand</i>	
1	Greater than 0.5% longitudinal strain and less than 75% of strain demand limit	Monitor
2	Equal to or greater than 75% of strain demand limit and less than 90% of strain demand limit ³⁹	Monitor. Develop site specific strain growth rate and corresponding remediation plan to ensure strain demand limit is not reached during Operational Life. The remediation plan must be implemented within one (1) year of the date of discovery, or prior to the date when the strain demand limit is expected to be exceeded, whichever is sooner.

³⁷ This table “Pipeline Segment Strain Demand Monitoring” assumes the strain demand limit is no less than 0.67%. If the strain demand limit is less than 0.67%, the *SBD Plan* must be reviewed jointly by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#), PHMSA, and independent third party engineering experts. Monitoring levels must have a response of “no objection” from PHMSA Director or Project Designee.

³⁸ [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must submit the Level 2 and 3 monitoring procedures and plans to PHMSA Director or Project Designee and must receive a response of “no objection” from PHMSA. PHMSA may request an independent third party engineering expert/firm review.

³⁹ The strain demand limit used in this table must have a safety factor as defined in Condition 6. Level 2 and 3 evaluations can be based upon the “site-specific strain demand limit” for the pipeline section.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

3	Equal to or greater than 90% of strain demand limit	Report to PHMSA Director or Project Designee within 5 days of discovery. Develop remediation plan and submit to PHMSA within 30 days of discovery. The remediation plan is to be implemented within one (1) year of the date of discovery, or 90 days prior to the date when the strain demand limit is expected to be exceeded, whichever is sooner.
---	---	---

- 18) **Coating Disbondment and Cathodic Protection Current:** Within an *SBD Segment* where ILI results indicate a wall loss greater than 20%⁴⁰ and the strain exceeds a magnitude of 0.5%, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must take remedial action to address the condition of the coating system, the level of CP, and to mitigate the corrosion that has occurred. Within one (1) year of the ILI tool run and subsequent data analysis identifying the wall loss, [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must:
- Remediate areas greater than 20% wall loss;⁴¹ or
 - Use technologies to demonstrate that adequate levels of CP are being afforded to the pipeline, and that coating degradation or disbondment is limited to the area in question. Further, an evaluation must be performed to ensure that the detected wall loss combined with the detected strain levels will not reduce the pipe hoop strength capacity below that required for pressure containment (see Condition 7).

- 19) **Interference Currents Control:** The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must address induced alternating current (AC) from parallel electric transmission lines, foreign or nearby pipelines, telluric currents, and other interference issues such as direct current (DC) in the *SBD Segments* that may affect the pipeline. An induced AC or DC monitoring program and remediation plan to protect the pipeline from corrosion caused by stray or interference currents must be in place within one (1) year of the *SBD Segment* pipe being installed in the ditch (including backfill).

⁴⁰ Anomalies greater than 20% wall loss and up to 40% wall loss may be allowed in *SBD Segments* with strains over 0.5% strain, but must be evaluated with O&M procedures that are based upon a destructive test program and finite element evaluation that validates the procedure.

⁴¹ Anomalies greater than 20% wall loss and up to 40% wall loss may be allowed in *SBD Segments* with strains over 0.5% strain, but must be evaluated with O&M procedures that are based upon a destructive test program and finite element evaluation that validates the procedure.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- a) The ALASKA LNG PROJECT must take readings at each AC mitigation test coupon location once every calendar year throughout the life of the pipeline. The ALASKA LNG PROJECT must also take 24-hour recordings of AC interference voltages at 20% of the AC interference coupon test stations each calendar year. If there are any significant changes in the amount of electrical current flowing in any of the co-located high voltage AC power lines, such as from additional generation, a voltage up rating, additional lines, or new or enlarged substations, the ALASKA LNG PROJECT must perform an AC interference survey along the entire co-located pipeline right of way within twelve (12) months of such change. To determine if remediation measures are warranted, the ALASKA LNG PROJECT must evaluate interference areas where AC current discharge is greater than 20 amperes per meter squared with the most recent metal loss ILI tool results. The ALASKA LNG PROJECT must remediate any interference causing AC current discharge greater than 50 amperes per meter squared of pipe surface within twelve (12) months of the AC interference survey.
- b) At least once every seven (7) calendar years not exceeding 84 months, the ALASKA LNG PROJECT must perform an engineering analysis on the effectiveness of AC, DC, telluric current, and other electrical interference mitigation measures. The ALASKA LNG PROJECT also must evaluate any AC interference causing AC current discharge greater than 20 amperes per meter squared of surface area. In evaluating such interference, the ALASKA LNG PROJECT must integrate AC and all other electrical interference data with the most recent metal loss ILI tool results to determine remediation measures.
- c) Within twelve (12) months of the results of the interference engineering analysis, the ALASKA LNG PROJECT must remediate any AC interference causing AC current discharge greater than 50 amperes per meter squared of surface area. Remediation means the implementation of performance measures including, but not limited to, additional grounding along the pipeline to reduce interference currents. Any DC interference that results in CP levels that do not meet the requirements of 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart I, must be remediated within twelve (12) months of this evaluation.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

d) Electrical interference mitigation and CP systems and equipment must comply with Condition 13.

20) **Data Integration:** The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must create a data integration plan to integrate and analyze data pertaining to the integrity of the *SBD Segments*. The data integration plan must define the integrity management data elements required for integrity management of the *SBD Segments*; strain capacity assessment; determination and forecast of strain demand; and monitoring and remediation.

a) The data integration plan must reflect the information in Table 4: “Data Integration Plan Information,” as a minimum, in addition to the requirements in Table 1 of ASME B31.8S-2004.

b) Data integration must be outlined on pipeline route sheets (example: scale of 1 inch = 100 up to 500 feet on D (24”x36”) or E (36”x42”) size drawings or similar size drawings) or in an electronic geographic information system (GIS), with parallel sections for each integrity category and recent aerial photography or satellite imagery (recent photography, within 24 months of initial filing and every 7 years thereafter). Data integration must be capable of being updated on a continuing basis in accordance with 49 CFR Part 192.

Table 4: Data Integration Plan Information		
Language from ASME B.318S – 2004, Table 1		SBD Condition Language
<i>Category</i>	<i>Data</i>	<i>Data Element</i>
Attribute Data	Material Properties	Line pipe mechanical properties on a per heat basis, to include: - Tensile: UTS, Uniform Elongation (UEI), Y/T - Compressive: full stress-strain curve Weld properties on a per WPS/PQR basis to include: - All weld metal UTS - Weld Metal Single Edge Notch Tension (WM SENT)
Construction	Depth of cover	Depth of cover surveys
	Crossings/casings	Location of casings and highway/railroad crossings
Operational	Normal maximum and minimum operating pressures	Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP), including normal, maximum, and minimum operating pressures. Operating temperatures based upon seasonal, through-put, and normal, maximum, and minimum operating pressures.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

	Leak/failure history	Any in-service ruptures or leaks
	CP system performance	Rectifier voltage and current outputs – past 7 years; CP test point survey readings – past 7 years; AC and DC interference surveys; telluric current surveys
	Other (not included in B31.8S)	High consequence areas (HCAs) (including boundaries on aerial photography or satellite imagery)
Class location (including boundaries on aerial photography or satellite imagery)		
Inspection	Pressure tests	Hydrostatic test pressure including any known hydrostatic test failures or leaks
	In-line inspections	ILI tool results including high resolution (HR) metal loss ILI tools, HR-deformation tools, coating disbondment tool and/or CP current measurement, and mapping ILI tool results
	CP inspection	Close Interval Survey (CIS) surveys
	Coating condition inspections (DC voltage gradient)	Pipe coating surveys; pipe coating and anomaly evaluations from pipe excavations
	Other (not included in B31.8S)	Stress corrosion cracking (SCC) excavations and findings
		Pipe exposures for any reason
Geotechnical locations where strain monitoring is on-going with periodic measurements		
Each high strain location identified in accordance with Condition 17 (e)		

21) Treatment of SBD Segments as Covered Segments under Integrity Management Rule:

Notwithstanding the definition of “covered segment,” the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must incorporate the *SBD Segments* in its written integrity management program (IMP) and treat the *SBD Segments* as a covered segment in a high consequence area (HCA) in accordance with 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart O, except for the reporting requirements contained in 49 CFR § 192.945.

- a) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must include the *SBD Segments* in its IMP baseline assessment plan in accordance with 49 CFR § 192.905;
- b) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must perform ILI assessment along the entire length of the *SBD Segments* using ILI tools (high resolution metal loss, high resolution deformation and Mapping) not later than the end of Pipeline Start-Up;

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- c) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must perform ILI assessment using all ILI tools described in Condition 21(b) on a maximum seven (7) calendar-year interval. These ILI tools may be required to be run individually at more frequent intervals as needed in order to comply with all *SBD Conditions*;
- d) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must perform a CP assessment by a protective coating assessment of buried pipe by DC voltage gradient or AC voltage gradient in accordance with 49 CFR § 192.620 along the entire length of the *SBD Segments* after pipe construction backfill but within nine (9) months of placing the CP system in operation;
- e) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must perform an External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA) in accordance with 49 CFR § 192.925 on a maximum seven (7) calendar-year interval to evaluate and remediate external pipe coating and CP operational performance.

22) **Analysis of ILI Tool Data and Discovery of Actionable Anomalies:** In addition to the assessment and repair requirements contained in 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart O, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must account for ILI tool tolerance and corrosion growth rates in scheduled response times and repairs and document and justify the values used. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must demonstrate ILI tool tolerance accuracy for each ILI tool run by use of calibration excavations (minimum of three (3) excavations) and unity plots that demonstrate ILI tool detection accuracy for depth and length within $\pm 10\%$ for 90% of the time. The unity plots must show: actual anomaly depth versus predicted ILI tool depth, as well as failure pressure/MAOP for actual anomaly dimensions versus ILI tool predicted failure pressure/MAOP for ILI tool anomaly dimensions. Discovery date must be within 90 days of an ILI tool run for each type ILI tool (high resolution geometry, high resolution deformation or high resolution metal loss), unless that period is impractical, at which time the discovery date must be within 180 days of an ILI tool run. ILI tool evaluations for metal loss must use “6t x 6t” interaction or more conservative criteria for determining anomaly failure pressures and remediation response timing with “6t” being pipe wall thickness times six.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

23) **Remediation:** In addition to all assessment and repair requirements contained in 49 CFR Part 192, Subpart O, the following section provides requirements for excavation, investigation, and remediation of anomalies based on ILI tool data results in accordance with 49 CFR §§ 192.485 and 192.933. Also, these requirements must incorporate the appropriate Class location design factors in the anomaly repair criteria⁴² for the **SBD Segments**.

Reassessment by ILI tool must reset the timing for anomalies not already investigated and/or repaired. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must evaluate ILI tool metal loss data by using appropriate assessment analytical tools that incorporate the effects of longitudinal strain on the pressure containment capacity of the pipeline. Such tools may be developed by using three-dimensional finite element analysis with the full range material properties, anomaly dimensions, and interaction of multiple anomalies; the final analytical tool may be a finite element procedure for use in ECA. Established tools, such as ASME Standard B31G, “Manual for Determining the Remaining Strength of Corroded Pipelines” (ASME B31G), the modified B31G (0.85dL) or R-STRENG,⁴³ may be used provided that (a) the effects of longitudinal strain are technically considered/implemented and (b) the safety of the tool is equivalent or greater than that established for assessing pipelines under traditional stress-based design (longitudinal strain magnitude less than 0.5%). The ILI tool results must address ILI tool tolerances, unity charts findings, and corrosion growth rates of anomalies.

- a) **Immediate response:** Any anomaly within a **SBD Segment** that meets either: a Failure Pressure Ratio (FPR)⁴⁴ equal to or less than 1.1; or an anomaly depth equal to or greater than 60% wall thickness loss;

⁴² Anomaly repair methods (such as steel or composite sleeves) must take into their impact on longitudinal strain capacity at that location.

⁴³ RSTRENG: John F Kiefner and Pat H Vieth, *A Modified Criterion for Evaluating the Remaining Strength of Corroded Pipe*, Contract PR-3-805, Prepared for the Pipeline Corrosion Supervisory Committee Pipeline Research Committee of Pipeline Research Council International, Inc. by Battelle Memorial Institute, December 22, 1989.

⁴⁴ Failure Pressure Ratio means the predicted failure pressure divided by MAOP.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- b) One-year response: Any anomaly within an *SBD Segment* in Class 1 location pipe that meets either: an FPR equal to or less than 1.39;⁴⁵ or an anomaly depth equal to or greater than 40% wall thickness loss;
- c) Monitored response: Any anomaly within an *SBD Segment* with a Class 1 location pipe that meets both: (a) an FPR greater than 1.39;⁴⁶ and an anomaly depth less than 40% and greater than 20% wall thickness loss. The schedule for the response must take tool tolerance and corrosion growth rates into account.
- d) Anomaly Response for Class 2 and 3 locations: Anomaly response for Class 2 and 3 locations must use a FPR in Condition 23 (b) and (c) of 1.67 for Class 2 locations and 2.0 for Class 3 locations.

If factors beyond the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#)'s control prevent the completion of any evaluation or implementation of any remediation measure, or plan required under this Condition, or Conditions 17 through 22, within the time period specified, the completion of the evaluation or implementation of the remediation measure or plan must be completed as soon as practicable. A letter justifying the delay and providing the anticipated date that the evaluation will be completed or remediation measure or plan implemented must be submitted to the PHMSA Director or Project Designee no later than one (1) month prior to the end of the time period specified under the applicable Condition. Any extended evaluation or remediation schedule submitted to PHMSA Director or Project Designee from the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must receive a response of "no objection" from the PHMSA Director or Project Designee.

⁴⁵ When the anomaly is in a Class 2 location use a FPR of 1.67 and when the anomaly is in a Class 3 or Class 4 location use a FPR of 2.00.

⁴⁶ When the anomaly is in a Class 2 location use a FPR of 1.67 and when the anomaly is in a Class 3 or Class 4 location use a FPR of 2.00.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

Reporting and Certification:

- 24) **Reporting:** Within twelve (12) months following Pipeline Start-Up and annually⁴⁷ thereafter, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must report the following to the PHMSA Director or Project Designee with copies to the Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division, and Director, PHMSA Standards and Rulemaking Division⁴⁸.
- a) In the first annual report, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must describe the economic benefits of the *SBD Conditions*. Subsequent reports must indicate any changes to this initial assessment;
 - b) In the first annual report, fully describe how the public benefits from energy availability. Subsequent reports must indicate any changes to this initial assessment;
 - c) The number of new residences, identified sites, or other structures intended for human occupancy and public gathering areas built within an *SBD Segment's* potential impact radius (PIR) as defined in 49 CFR § 192.903;
 - d) Any integrity threats identified during the previous year and the results of any ILI or direct assessments performed during the previous year (such as strains over 0.5% and anomalies over 20% wall loss) in the *SBD Segments*;
 - e) Any reportable incident or any leak reported on the DOT Annual Report in the *SBD Segments*;
 - f) All repairs that occurred during the previous year in the *SBD Segments*;
 - g) Any on-going damage prevention, corrosion, and longitudinal strain preventative initiatives affecting the *SBD Segments* and a discussion of the success of the initiatives;
 - h) Annual data integration information, as required in Condition 20 “Data Integration” for the *SBD Segments*;
 - i) All actual strain demand conditions that exceed the 0.5% Level 1 strain demand limit specified in Condition 17 in the *SBD Segments*;

⁴⁷ Annual reports and other reports submitted to PHMSA Director or Project Designee must be provided in accordance with 49 CFR Part 192 regulations.

⁴⁸ Upon notice to the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#), PHMSA may update reporting contacts for Condition 24.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- j) Any mergers, acquisitions, transfer of assets, or other events affecting the regulatory responsibility of the company operating the pipeline.
- 25) **Extension of Special Permit Segments**: PHMSA may extend the original *SBD Segments* to include contiguous segments of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) pipeline up to the limits of the *SBD Segments Permit Area*⁴⁹ as defined in the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) environmental impact statement (EIS) and pursuant to the following conditions. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must:
- a) Provide notice to the Director, PHMSA Director or Project Designee; Director, PHMSA Standards and Rulemaking Division; and Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division of a requested new *SBD Segment or extension*⁵⁰ of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) pipeline based on any anticipated remedial or pipe replacement actions and the location of the new request including survey stationing. All requests for a new *SBD Segment or extension* must be: submitted nine (9) months in advance of their implementation, follow the Appendix B – Design Change Process, include data integration (see Condition 20), and information on any potential environmental impacts of the extension.
 - b) Complete all inspections and remediation of the proposed *SBD Segment extension* to the extent required of the original the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) pipeline *SBD Segment*.
 - c) Comply with all the special permit conditions and limitations included herein to all new *SBD Segments or extensions*.
 - d) Comply with all conditions of this special permit for the new *SBD Segment or extensions* required for implementation and certification including submittal of documents to PHMSA required in Condition 27.

⁴⁹ *SBD Segments Permit Area* is the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) pipeline milepost areas reviewed in the project EIS. They may have review areas that are not included in the original defined scope for the special permit conditions.

⁵⁰ For a new *special permit segment or extension* within the EIS permitted pipeline segment to be considered by PHMSA, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must notify PHMSA's Director or Project Designee to determine the need for any additional environmental assessment.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- 26) **Certification**: A senior executive officer, vice president or higher, of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must certify in writing the following:
- a) The *SBD Segments* of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Pipeline meet these *SBD Conditions*; and
 - b) The written manual of O&M procedures for the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Pipeline includes all applicable requirements in 49 CFR Part 192 and these *SBD Conditions*.
- As well, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must send the certifications required in Condition 26(a) and (b) with completion date, compliance documentation summary, and the required senior executive signature and date of signature to the PHMSA Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety with copies to the PHMSA Director or Project Designee; Director, PHMSA Standards and Rulemaking Division; and Director, PHMSA Engineering and Research Division within three (3) months of placing the pipeline into natural gas service. The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must provide a status update, including any proposed changes to the final *SBD Plan* as per Condition 3(a), within six (6) months after placing the pipeline into natural gas service.

Nomenclature:

- 27) **Nomenclature**: Defines technical terms used for strain-based design throughout these *SBD Conditions*.
- a) Actionable anomaly: Anomalies that may exceed acceptable limits based on the [ALASKA LNG](#)'s anomaly and pipeline data analysis;
 - b) The [ALASKA LNG Pipeline](#): The Mainline gas pipeline that extends from the [Prudhoe Bay Gas Treatment Plant](#) to the [LNG Plant in Nikiski, Alaska](#);

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- c) Compressive strain capacity⁵¹: Compressive strain capacity (CSC) is the maximum longitudinal compressive strain when the pipe segment reaches its maximum bending moment under lateral bending or its maximum compressive load under compression;
- d) Full-scale testing: A full-scale test involves a full-size pipe with no portions of the pipe circumference cut out. The test may be performed with or without internal pressure. The pipe can be loaded in longitudinal tension, longitudinal compression, lateral bending, or combination thereof;
- e) High strain: Longitudinal strain with a magnitude greater than 0.5%;
- f) Independent third party engineering expert/firm: An engineering expert or firm that is retained by mutual agreement between the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) and PHMSA and commits to submitting reports and other forms of communication simultaneously to the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) and PHMSA Director or Project Designee;
- g) Independent Third Party Review: A technical review carried out by an independent third party engineering expert/firm;
- h) Medium-scale testing: A typical medium-scale test is a curved wide plate (CWP) test. The test specimen is a curved piece of pipe with a nominal gauge width of 200 mm (8 inch) to 450 mm (18 inch) and a nominal length of 4-5 times of the gauge width. The specimen usually has a girth weld in mid-length and is pulled in the longitudinal direction. A machined notch or fatigue-sharpened flaw is usually placed in the weld or heat-affected zone to simulate welding defects;
- i) Natural gas service: The date on which first product gas is introduced into the pipeline;
- j) Pipeline Start-Up: An interval during which the pipeline system begins operations, and throughput (product flow through the pipeline) is ramped to its commercial

⁵¹ Reaching CSC generally does not lead to immediate loss of pressure containment if the pipe is restrained from further deformation. The consequence of exceeding CSC varies, depending on the mechanical properties of the pipe and welds, site-specific support conditions, and operational conditions of the pipeline. During the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Material Testing Program, the CSC will be reviewed and an alternative evaluation may be proposed by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#). Any alternative definition of CSC must be reviewed with PHMSA. Both immediate and long-term consequence of exceeding the CSC as defined must be evaluated and technically justified. Condition 23 has requirements for the assessment and remediation of dents and wrinkles in accordance with § 192.933, Subpart O.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- capacity. For the purposes of these *SBD Conditions*, Pipeline Start-Up is defined as a period of no more than one (1) calendar year after natural gas service;
- k) Probability of Detection: Probability of a change in strain being detected by the ILI-IMU tool;
 - l) Site-specific strain demand: Site-specific strain demand is the strain demand specific to a particular pipeline site within the *SBD Segment*. Site-specific conditions contribute to the site-specific strain demand, including local operational parameters, local soil conditions, pipe material and geometric features, and pipe/soil interaction. The strain demand profile varies over the length of the strain feature and values can be established at distinct locations, e.g., pipe body and individual girth weld locations;
 - m) Site-specific strain demand limit: Site-specific strain demand limit is the strain demand limit specific to a particular pipeline site within the *SBD Segment*. Site-specific conditions contribute to the site-specific strain demand limit, including material conditions and weld imperfections;
 - n) Small-scale testing: Typical small-scale testing includes uniaxial tension test, uniaxial compression test, single edge notched bending (SENB) test, single edge notched tensile (SENT) test, and Charpy V-notch (CVN) impact test. The dimensions of typical small-scale test specimens range from a few inches to tens of inches. The specimens are usually light enough that they can be handled without the use of lifting equipment;
 - o) Strain-based design: Strain-based design (SBD) is a pipeline design methodology with specific goals of providing safe and reliable service when such a pipeline is subjected to longitudinal strains with magnitudes greater than 0.5%. It does not replace design requirements based on the maximum hoop stress criteria of 49 CFR Part 192;
 - p) Strain capacity: Strain capacity is the longitudinal strain limit of a pipe and/or girth weld at the point of an incipient failure event, such as a leak or rupture with accompanying loss of pressure containment, loss of structural stability, or features that have long-term negative consequences;

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- q) Strain demand: Strain demand is the longitudinal strain imposed on a pipeline by its surrounding environment (e.g., frost heave, thaw settlement, seismic, geologic fault areas, soil liquefaction areas, or soil movement areas) as outlined in Condition 6(b);
- r) Strain demand limit: Strain demand limit is a specific longitudinal strain demand value that cannot be exceeded. The strain demand limit must be less than the strain capacity. The difference between the strain capacity and strain demand limit is a part of the safety margin in a SBD approach (see Condition 6(a)). The strain demand limit must be established for the *SBD Segments* in accordance with Condition 6;
- s) Technically considered: A documented engineering and operational technical review of all findings and plans;
- t) Tensile strain capacity: Tensile strain capacity (TSC) is the maximum longitudinal tensile strain the pipe and/or girth weld can withstand without loss of pressure containment;
- u) Tensile strength overmatch: The difference between the tensile strength of the girth weld and the pipe. For practical purposes, the tensile strength of the girth weld is measured in the hoop direction using all weld metal specimen, while the tensile strength of the pipe is measured in the axial direction;
- v) Uniform strain: Uniform strain is the engineering strain corresponding to the ultimate tensile strength in an engineering stress vs. engineering strain plot;

Proprietary Data:

- 28) **Proprietary Data:** Proprietary Data may be submitted by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) to PHMSA Director or Project Designee on a confidential basis. This data would be labeled “Confidential Business Information” and will be afforded the protection of 49 CFR 7.23.

Limitations:

PHMSA grants this special permit subject to the following limitations:

- 1) PHMSA has the sole authority to make all determinations on whether the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) has complied with the specified conditions of this special permit.
- 2) Failure to submit the certifications required by Condition 26 within the time frames specified may result in revocation of this special permit.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- 3) PHMSA may revoke, suspend or modify a special permit based on any finding listed in 49 CFR § 190.341(h)(1). As provided in 49 U.S.C. § 60122, PHMSA may also issue an enforcement action for failure to comply with this special permit.
- 4) Should PHMSA revoke, suspend or modify a special permit based on any finding listed in 49 CFR § 190.341(h)(1), PHMSA will notify the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) in writing of the proposed action and provide the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) an opportunity to show cause why the action should not be taken. In accordance with 49 CFR § 190.341(h)(3), if necessary to avoid the risk of significant harm to persons, property, or the environment, PHMSA will not give advance notice and will declare the proposed action (revocation, suspension, or modification) immediately effective. Otherwise, in accordance with 49 CFR § 190.341(h)(2)(i), the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may provide a written response showing why the proposed action should not be taken within 30 days of the notice.
- 5) The terms and conditions of any corrective action order, compliance order or other order applicable to a pipeline facility covered by this special permit will take precedence over the terms of this special permit in accordance with 49 CFR § 190.341(h)(4).
- 6) The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) may seek reconsideration of a decision made pursuant to 49 CFR § 190.341(h) by submitting a petition to the Associate Administrator of Pipeline Safety in accordance with § 190.341(i). The Associate Administrator's decision made pursuant to 49 CFR § 190.341(i) constitutes final administrative action. All final administrative actions are subject to juridical review under 49 U.S.C. § 60119.
- 7) If the owner(s) of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) sells, merges, transfers, or otherwise disposes of the assets known as the *SBD Segments*, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must provide PHMSA with written notice of the transfer within 30 days after the consummation date. In the event of such transfer, PHMSA reserves the right to revoke, suspend, or modify the special permit if the transfer constitutes a material change in conditions or circumstances pursuant to 49 CFR § 190.341(h)(1)(ii) or any other circumstances listed under 49 CFR § 190.341(h)(1)(ii) or any other circumstances listed under 49 CFR § 190.341(h)(1).

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

Appendix A - Pipe

The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must prepare: material specifications, including requirements for qualification and production tests; and an Inspection and Test Plan using Appendix A⁵².

The *SBD Segments* of the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Pipeline will be constructed of line pipe meeting the requirements of API 5L Grade X70 PSL 2.

1) Materials Specifications for Pipe

- a. Hoop tensile properties must be established by testing round-bar specimens without flattening.
- b. Longitudinal tensile properties must be established by testing full thickness strap specimens without flattening. The gage length and width of the reduced section must comply with ASTM A370, Section A.2.2.2 for longitudinal strip specimens for tubular products.
- c. For tensile tests for which a full stress strain curve is required, the stress-strain curve must be recorded at least until the ultimate tensile strength and uniform elongation is reached. The extensometer may be removed after the load begins to decrease.
- d. Requirements that apply after simulated coating (the aged condition) must be performed on test specimens aged at a temperature equal to the lesser of 250°C or the maximum temperature experienced during FBE coating, for five (5) minutes.
- e. The minimum longitudinal tensile yield strength in the aged condition defined at 0.5 % engineering strain must be $\geq 90\%$ of the SMYS in the hoop tension direction⁵³.
- f. For longitudinal specimens, the maximum yield strength to ultimate tensile strength (YS/UTS) ratio must be ≤ 0.90 (both before and after simulated coating).

⁵² Appendix A is intended to ensure consistent material properties are used throughout the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) Pipeline for material testing, strain capacity modeling, welding procedures, and strain demand limits. Any changes to the material specifications used on the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT SBD Segments](#) that are not in accordance with this Appendix A must be submitted to PHMSA Director or Project Designee for review and a response of “no objection.” Appendix A changes are not intended as being a change that would subject the special permit to be publicly noticed.

⁵³ It is typical for UOE line pipe to exhibit strength anisotropy that results in the axial strength being less than hoop strength. This is beneficial for strain-based design since the weld metal overmatch, which is in the axial direction, will be greater.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- g. Longitudinal compression tests must be conducted for information only. The longitudinal stress-strain curves in the “as received” condition (i.e., not aged) must exhibit "round house" (continuous yielding) behavior, i.e., no Lüders plateau of more than 0.2% strain.
 - h. The longitudinal tensile stress-strain curves in the “aged” condition should ideally exhibit “round house” (continuous yielding) but if discontinuous yielding is exhibited the Lüders plateau elongation must be $\leq 0.5\%$, i.e., the length of the plateau from initial yielding must be $\leq 0.5\%$.
 - i. The longitudinal tension specimen must exhibit uniform strain (elongation at maximum load) of $\geq 6\%$.
 - j. The maximum longitudinal tensile yield strength must not exceed $SMYS + 20.3$ ksi (140 MPa).
 - k. The maximum longitudinal ultimate tensile strength (UTS) must not exceed $SMYS + 35$ ksi (240 MPa)
 - l. The drop weight tear test (DWTT) specimens taken 90 degrees from the weld must exhibit an average shear area $\geq 85\%$ at the lowest temperature under normal operations or Lowest Anticipated Service Temperature (LAST).
 - m. The Charpy energy for samples taken at mid thickness and 90 degrees from the weld must be specified at LAST. Shear area must be reported for information.
 - n. CTOD R curves must be reported for information only.
 - o. The pipe must be resistant to heat affected zone (HAZ) softening. The minimum HAZ hardness in the seam weld heat affected zone must be $\geq 160 H_{v10}$.
 - p. The maximum tolerances on pipe diameter, ovality and wall thickness must be in accordance with American Petroleum Institute: “Specification for Line of Pipe” (API 5L). The average wall thickness for the quantity of pipe on the purchase order must not be less than the specified wall thickness. The minus wall thickness tolerance at any location must be 0.8 mm (0.031 in.) except in localized areas where grinding is required to remove surface imperfections. Such localized areas must meet a minimum tolerance equal to 95% of specified wall thickness.
- 2) Manufacturing Procedure Qualification Test (MPQT)

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

The pipe manufacturer must produce a minimum of two heats to demonstrate compliance with the specification. A change in any of the essential variables listed in Table A-1 below require requalification. Alternatively, each time the actual value for the considered parameter is outside of the defined range, the plate is declared as “out of process plate,” and will be individually mechanically tested to confirm compliance with specification requirements.

- a) For longitudinal seam line pipe, one plate from each heat must be tested on each corner of the plate. For manufacture of helical seam line pipe, coils from each heat must be tested on both edges and at the extreme head and tail of the coil. The data developed during prequalification must be used to establish the appropriate test locations during production. As an option, testing of plate/coil may be performed after pipe forming to account for changes in pipe properties due to forming.

Table A-1: Line Pipe Manufacturing Essential Variables	
Essential Variables	Parameters
Chemistry	A change in chemistry outside the limits in Table A-2
Steelmaking Method	EAF or BOF
Refining Process	LMF and/or Vacuum Treatment
Casting	Ingots or continuous casting
Slab Reheating Temp	+/- 40°C
Slab Reheating Time.	Minimum reheating time
Rolling Practice	Air or Water Cooling (TMCP)
Total Rolling Reduction	+ Unlimited, -15%
Finishing Reduction	+ Unlimited, -15%
Finish Rolling Temp.	+/- 45°F (+/-25°C)
TMCP Water Start Temp. ^{NOTE 1}	+/- 63°F (+/-35°C)
TMCP Water Stop Temp. ^{NOTE 1}	+/- 90°F (+/-50°C)
TMCP Cooling Rate ^{NOTE 2}	+/- 25%
Plate Manufacturer	Any change in manufacturer or manufacturing location.
Change in Pipe Making Process	From JCOE to UOE to Three Roll Bending or Spiral, etc.
Expansion Ratio	+/- 0.3%
Coating Temp.	+ 36°F (+ 20°C), - Unlimited
^{NOTE 1} Applicable only if accelerated cooling is used.	
^{NOTE 2} Cooling rate is the average cooling rate between the water start temperature and the water finish temperature	

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

Table A-2: Allowable Chemistry Variation	
carbon	+0.02, -0.03%
manganese	+/- 0.20%
phosphorus	+0.010%, -no limit
sulfur	+/- 0.005%
silicon	+0.15%, -no limit
copper	+/- 0.15%
nickel	+0.50%, -0.15%
chromium	+/- 0.10%
molybdenum	+0.04%, -0.06%
niobium	+/- 0.010%
titanium	+/- 0.010%
aluminum	+/- 0.025%
vanadium	+/- 0.02%
boron	+/- 0.0005% (+/-5 ppm)
nitrogen (total)	+0.0025%, -0.0045% (+25 ppm, -45 ppm)
CE	+0.02, -0.03
Pcm	+0.02, -0.03

- b. Two (2) pipes from each heat must be tested for the items (i) through (ix) below. One (1) pipe from each heat must be tested for items (x) and (xi).⁵⁴
- i. Chemical analysis;
 - ii. Longitudinal and hoop tensile tests of pipe body in the as-received condition (provide full stress-strain curves). Three specimens per pipe for each orientation must be tested;
 - iii. Longitudinal tensile tests of pipe body in the aged condition (provide full stress-strain curves). Three (3) specimens per pipe must be tested. The sampling position for the strain ageing test specimen is the same as that of non-aged specimens;
 - iv. Longitudinal compression tests of pipe body in the aged condition (provide full stress-strain curves). Three (3) specimens per pipe must be

⁵⁴ If API 5L requires additional testing, the more stringent requirements must apply.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- tested. The sampling position is the same as for longitudinal tensile test specimens;
- v. Tensile Test of seam weld in the as-received condition. Tensile testing must be performed on three (3) round bar specimens representing all pipe seam weld metal. Full stress-strain curves must be provided;
 - vi. Charpy impact test (pipe body, weld and HAZ), at the specified temperature;
 - vii. DWTT, at the specified temperature;
 - viii. Vickers Hardness traverse across seam weld;
 - ix. Guided bend test;
 - x. Metallography of pipe body;
 - xi. Visual inspection and dimensions;
 - xii. Nondestructive inspection;
 - xiii. Hydrostatic test. One (1) pipe from each heat must be tested hydrostatically at an applied hoop stress corresponding to 100% SMYS. The pressure must be calculated using specified outside diameter and minimum wall thickness. For mills with an end-sealing ram that produces a compressive longitudinal stress, the applied hoop stress must correspond to 100% SMYS after provision for end loading per API 5L, Paragraph 10.2.6.6 are applied. If the dimension of pipe fails to conform to the requirements of this specification after hydrostatic test, then two additional pipes from the same heat must be selected to perform the same hydrostatic test;
 - xiv. SENT tests or CTOD tests of pipe body to measure CTOD R curves;
 - xv. For information only, Charpy transition curve of the pipe body in the aged condition. Complete fracture shear area percentage and Charpy absorbed energy transition curves for transverse Charpy impact tests must be provided. Tests must be performed on three specimens per test temperature at a minimum of five (5) test temperatures that cover the ductile to brittle transition temperature range.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- 3) Production Testing of Mechanical Properties
- a. Tests and Requirements: The tests and requirements are shown in Table A-3;
 - b. Quality Assurance and Quality Control Surveillance (QA/QC): Manufacturing QA/QC of pipe production must be conducted in accordance with an Inspection and Test Plan approved by the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#).

Table A-3: Test and Requirements			
Items		Frequency ^{NOTE 3}	Number, location and orientation of specimen (See Note 4)
Pipe Body ^{NOTE 1}	Chemical composition product analysis	1/heat	1
	Pipe body transverse tensile	1/lot ^{NOTE 2}	1
	Pipe body longitudinal tensile (aged)	1/lot	1 (90°, longitudinal)
	Charpy impact - pipe body transverse	1/lot	1 set of 3 specimens
	DWTT	1/lot	2
Weld	Welded joint tensile	1/lot	1
	Guided root bending	1/lot	1
	Guided face bending	1/lot	1
	Charpy impact - weld	1/lot	1 set of 3 specimens
	Charpy impact - HAZ	1/lot	1 set of 3 specimens
	Macro	1/lot	1
	Vickers hardness	1/lot	Per API 5L
Hydrostatic pressure test		Each pipe	
Visual		Each pipe	
Dimension		Each pipe	
NDT		Each pipe	
<p>NOTE 1: For helical seam pipe the samples must be taken mid-way between the weld seam.</p> <p>NOTE 2: A lot is defined as 100 pipes, or per heat, or as per API 5L, whichever is less.</p> <p>NOTE 3: Testing frequency and test type must meet both Table A-3 and API 5L criteria.</p> <p>NOTE 4: Location and orientation must comply with API 5L, if not specified otherwise.</p>			

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

Appendix B – Design Change Process

If required, the [ALASKA LNG PROJECT](#) must implement a Design Change Process (DCP) to address how the *SBD Segments* in the special permit will be extended or new *SBD Segments* added as engineering or construction of the pipeline progresses and conditions are found to be changed from design basis parameters. The *DCP* must be submitted to PHMSA for no objection.⁵⁵

The Special Permit requires milepost boundaries to be identified for pipeline segments that will be covered by the Conditions. There are several factors which could cause a change of *SBD Segments* along the route after the time of initial submittal of the special permit application and issuance of a special permit. These factors include: reroutes such as those due to physical, environmental or regulatory determinations, additional subsurface information gathered from field geotechnical confirmation studies, changes in pipeline system design, changes in pipe or ditch design, and changes in construction planning.

The *DCP* will describe the process and procedures by which such changes are evaluated for their effect on *SBD Segments* and, when an extension in a *SBD Segment* or new *SBD Segment* is identified, specifies the additional process of review and approval (PHMSA “no objection”) of those *SBD Segment* changes. The *DCP* will also demonstrate adherence to quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) processes and procedures.

- 1) *DCP* content must include:
 - a. The *DCP* will be part of the *SBD Plan*, including applicable review and approval under Condition 3(b).
 - b. At a minimum, the *DCP* will include the following:
 - i. Reason for change;
 - ii. Authority for approving changes;
 - iii. Analysis of implications;

⁵⁵ The [ALASKA LNG PROJECT's](#) DCP must be submitted to the PHMSA Director or Project Designee and must receive a “no objection” response from PHMSA prior to implementation.

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

- iv. Acquisition of required work permits;
 - v. Any required environmental reviews and permits;
 - vi. Documentation;
 - vii. Communication of change to affected parties;
 - viii. Time limitations; and
 - ix. Qualification of staff.
- c. The DCP must include a description of the data analysis procedure that impacts the determination of SBD segment boundaries during design and construction of the pipeline.
- 2) Review and approval of updates to ***SBD Segment*** mileposts or new ***SBD Segments***:
- a. The beginning and ending mileposts of all ***SBD Segments*** or new ***SBD Segments*** are included in the SBD Special Permit.
 - b. The **ALASKA LNG PROJECT** must provide updates to the SBD mileposts when each SBD Plan Element described in Condition 3(a) is submitted to PHMSA. For SBD Plan Element I, Design and Materials Specifications and Procedures, SBD milepost changes, if any, must be based on any new data or project changes since issuance of the special permit, such as those listed below:
 - i. Additional geotechnical information;
 - ii. Route alignment changes;
 - iii. Design changes such as pipe wall thickness, grade, burial depth; and
 - iv. Changes in system design, e.g. changes in compressor station locations/design.

For SBD Plan Element II, Construction Specifications and Procedures, ***SBD Segment*** milepost changes, if any, would be based on new data or project changes, detailed in Paragraph 2 (b) of this Appendix B.

For the ***SBD Plan*** Element III, Operations and Maintenance Specifications and Procedures, the ***SBD Segment*** milepost changes, if any, would be based on changes during Construction that were made in accordance with the ***DCP***.

- c. After the start of Operations, additional updates may be proposed to the ***SBD***

Appendix B

Strain Based Design Special Permit Conditions

Segment mileposts by the ALASKA LNG PROJECT. The ALASKA LNG PROJECT must submit the *SBD Segment* mileposts to the PHMSA Director or Project Designee for a response of “no objection.”

- d. Any changes that add to the *SBD Segment* mileposts must be reviewed by PHMSA and an independent third party engineering expert/firm in accordance with the approval process in Condition 3. Any additional *SBD Segment* length must comply with all the *SBD Special Permit Conditions*. If the extension or the new *SBD Segment* was not initially built with pipe, welds, and procedures compliant with the *SBD Conditions*, replacement of the segment with compliant materials and procedures will be required.

3) Non-SBD Segments

If the ALASKA LNG PROJECT pipeline segments are found to experience axial or longitudinal strains in excess of 0.5% that are not included in the SBD special permit mileposts, ALASKA LNG PROJECT must present an assessment of the suitability for continued operations and a remediation plan including schedule for these pipeline segments to PHMSA for a determination of the go-forward processes and procedures including any additional special permit notices and environmental assessments. Any *Non-SBD segments* found with strains in excess of 0.5% must be reported by ALASKA LNG PROJECT to PHMSA Director or Project Designee within five (5) days of discovery.